

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/692,460	10/22/2003	Gregory Berrevoets	78485	7241
	7590 01/19/200 TABIN AND FLANN	EXAMINER		
120 SOUTH LA	A SALLE STREET	. REIMERS, ANNETTE R		
SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3733	
		·		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

T	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/692,460	BERREVOETS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Annette R. Reimers	3733			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period via Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 O	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 October 2005.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 21-23 is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 and 24-27 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 22 October 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/22/03, 01/26/04.	4)	ate			

Art Unit: 3733

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Species, a, figures 1-8 and the clamp of figure 9, in the reply filed on October 12, 2005 is acknowledged. Examiner further acknowledges that applicant believes that claims 1-20 and 24-27, read on the elected species. Examiner agrees with applicant that claims 1-20 and 24-27, read on the elected species of figures 1-8 and the clamp of figure 9. Examiner further agrees with applicant that claims 1-16 and 24-27 appear to be generic.

Claims 21-23 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on October 12, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-20 and 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Duff (US Patent Number 4,611,582).

Duff discloses a connecting member, e.g. 10, for securing spinal rods mounted to spinal vertebrae, the connecting member comprising a central span, and a pair of connecting ends, each end including a contact, e.g. 40, a locking member, e.g. 42 and 40, such that the locking member and contact form a spinal rod seat with locked and unlocked positions, and a cam member, e.g. 52 and 48, connected to the locking member and rotatable against a cam surface of the connecting end, wherein the contact for seating the connecting end on the spinal rod is an arcuate surface, wherein the locking member has an arcuate face, wherein the connecting end further includes a split ring spring retention member, e.g. 53, located around the cam member, wherein the camming member is located in a bore in the connecting end (see figure 5), and the bore includes a pair of arcuate camming surfaces (see figure 5), wherein the cam member has an annular channel recess, e.g. 57, and the locking member is secured in the recess via 48, wherein the central span includes a cross rod, e.g. 28, with beveled lateral surfaces, e.g. threads, 29, having a central longitudinal axis connected to one connecting end, a rod receiving member, e.g. 34, having a central longitudinal axis connected to the other connecting end, the rod receiving member including an internal cavity for receiving the cross rod (see figure 5), side openings, e.g. 62, a pivotal clamp device, e.g. 31-33, for clamping against the cross rod received in the rod receiving member, and a sleeve, e.g. 37, for clamping the clamp device against the cross rod, wherein the internal cavity of the rod receiving member receives the cross rod such that the cross rod may be adjustably inserted, via threads, in the cavity for varying the length of the connecting member (see figure 5), the cross rod may be adjustably rotated in the rod receiving member, via threads, around a longitudinal axis of the cross rod (see figure 5), and the cross rod may be pivoted relative to the rod receiving member (see figure 5), wherein the clamp device includes an arcuate inner surface for mating with the external surface of the cross rod (see figure 5), and the cross rod may be rotatably adjusted relative to the clamp device, via threads, wherein the cross rod includes a protrusion, e.g. threads, for retaining the crossrod within the rod receiving member, wherein the sleeve includes an internal structure having a shoulder portion that imparts a direct compression force on the clamp device (see column 3, lines 58-62), wherein the rod receiving member includes a terminal surface, e.g. threads, for limiting the position of the clamp device (see figure 5).

It is noted that the preamble of claim 1 recites "A connecting member for securing spinal rods mounted to spinal vertebrae" which amounts to an intended use recitation. It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed

apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Furthermore, with regard to the statement of intended use and other functional statements, they do not impose any structural limitations on the claims distinguishable over Duff., which is capable of being used as claimed if one so desires to do so. In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). Moreover, the law of anticipation does not require that the reference "teach" what the subject patent teaches, but rather it is only necessary that the claims under attack "read on" something in the reference. Kalman v. Kimberly Clark Corp., 218 USPQ 781 (CCPA) 1983).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO 892 for art cited of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Annette R. Reimers whose telephone number is (571) 272-7135. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/692,460

Art Unit: 3733

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Page 6

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AR

EDUARDO C. ROBERT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER